

THE PREDICTABLE DEGREE PROPERTY AND A PARAMETRIZATION OF ANNIHILATORS OF A BEHAVIOR OVER A FINITE RING

Margreta Kuijper¹ Raquel Pinto² Jan Willem Polderman³

¹Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering
University of Melbourne

²Department of Mathematics
University of Aveiro

³Department of Applied Mathematics
University of Twente

LinSys 2007, Canberra

SYSTEMS OVER FINITE ALGEBRAS—WHY?

- \longleftrightarrow coding theory:
 - convolutional codes as linear systems over a finite algebra
 - *Rosenthal et al. '96; Gluesing et al. '06; Fornasini & Pinto '04; Polderman et al. '07; Johansson et al. '11*
 - decoding of Reed-Solomon codes = iterative modeling of behaviors over a finite algebra, see *Kuijper & Willems '97; Kuijper & Polderman '04*
- \longleftrightarrow sequence theory:
 - complexity of sequences of elements from a finite algebra \leftrightarrow minimal partial realization of impulse response

SYSTEMS OVER FINITE ALGEBRAS—WHY?

- \longleftrightarrow coding theory:
 - **convolutional codes** as linear systems over a finite algebra
 - *Rosenthal a.o. '96; Gluesing a.o. '06; Fornasini & Pinto '04*
 - *Massey a.o. '89; Johannesson a.o. '98*
 - decoding of Reed-Solomon codes = iterative modeling of behaviors over a finite algebra, see *Kuijper & Willems '97; Kuijper & Polderman '04*
- \longleftrightarrow sequence theory:
 - **complexity** of sequences of elements from a finite algebra \leftrightarrow minimal partial realization of impulse response

SYSTEMS OVER FINITE ALGEBRAS—WHY?

- \longleftrightarrow coding theory:
 - **convolutional codes** as linear systems over a finite algebra
 - *Rosenthal a.o. '96; Gluesing a.o. '06; Fornasini & Pinto '04*
 - *Massey a.o. '89; Johannesson a.o. '98*
 - **decoding** of Reed-Solomon codes = iterative modeling of behaviors over a finite algebra, see *Kuijper & Willems '97; Kuijper & Polderman '04*
- \longleftrightarrow sequence theory:
 - **complexity** of sequences of elements from a finite algebra \leftrightarrow minimal partial realization of impulse response

SYSTEMS OVER FINITE ALGEBRAS—WHY?

- \longleftrightarrow coding theory:
 - **convolutional codes** as linear systems over a finite algebra
 - *Rosenthal a.o. '96; Gluesing a.o. '06; Fornasini & Pinto '04*
 - *Massey a.o. '89; Johannesson a.o. '98*
 - **decoding** of Reed-Solomon codes = iterative modeling of behaviors over a finite algebra, see *Kuijper & Willems '97; Kuijper & Polderman '04*
- \longleftrightarrow sequence theory:
 - **complexity** of sequences of elements from a finite algebra \leftrightarrow minimal partial realization of impulse response

SYSTEMS OVER FINITE ALGEBRAS—WHY?

- \longleftrightarrow coding theory:
 - **convolutional codes** as linear systems over a finite algebra
 - *Rosenthal a.o. '96; Gluesing a.o. '06; Fornasini & Pinto '04*
 - *Massey a.o. '89; Johannesson a.o. '98*
 - **decoding** of Reed-Solomon codes = iterative modeling of behaviors over a finite algebra, see *Kuijper & Willems '97; Kuijper & Polderman '04*
- \longleftrightarrow sequence theory:
 - **complexity** of sequences of elements from a finite algebra \leftrightarrow minimal partial realization of impulse response

SYSTEMS OVER FINITE ALGEBRAS—WHY?

- \longleftrightarrow coding theory:
 - **convolutional codes** as linear systems over a finite algebra
 - *Rosenthal a.o. '96; Gluesing a.o. '06; Fornasini & Pinto '04*
 - *Massey a.o. '89; Johannesson a.o. '98*
 - **decoding** of Reed-Solomon codes = iterative modeling of behaviors over a finite algebra, see *Kuijper & Willems '97; Kuijper & Polderman '04*
- \longleftrightarrow sequence theory:
 - **complexity** of sequences of elements from a finite algebra \leftrightarrow minimal partial realization of impulse response

- Example over field \mathbb{Z}_{11} : $\mathcal{B} = \text{span} \left\{ \left(\begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \dots \right) \right\}$
- has kernel representation $A(\sigma)w = 0$ with

$$A(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \xi^2 \\ 1 & 2\xi \end{bmatrix}$$

- A is **not row reduced** since leading row coefficient matrix

$$A^{\text{lrc}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Any other representation $R(\sigma)w = 0$ of \mathcal{B} with $R(\xi)$ of full row rank is given by $R(\xi) = U(\xi)A(\xi)$ with $U(\xi)$ unimodular
- Row reduction procedure of *Wedderburn '34*; *Wolovich '74* yields

$$U(\xi)A(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} \xi & 0 \\ 1 & 2\xi \end{bmatrix} \text{ for } U(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 9 & \xi \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Thus minimal row degrees are 1, 1.

- Example over field \mathbb{Z}_{11} : $\mathcal{B} = \text{span} \left\{ \left(\begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \dots \right) \right\}$
- has kernel representation $A(\sigma)\mathbf{w} = 0$ with

$$A(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \xi^2 \\ 1 & 2\xi \end{bmatrix}$$

- A is **not row reduced** since leading row coefficient matrix

$$A^{\text{lrc}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Any other representation $R(\sigma)\mathbf{w} = 0$ of \mathcal{B} with $R(\xi)$ of full row rank is given by $R(\xi) = U(\xi)A(\xi)$ with $U(\xi)$ unimodular
- Row reduction procedure of *Wedderburn '34*; *Wolovich '74* yields

$$U(\xi)A(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} \xi & 0 \\ 1 & 2\xi \end{bmatrix} \text{ for } U(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 9 & \xi \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Thus minimal row degrees are 1, 1.

- Example over field \mathbb{Z}_{11} : $\mathcal{B} = \text{span} \left\{ \left(\begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \dots \right) \right\}$
- has kernel representation $A(\sigma)\mathbf{w} = 0$ with

$$A(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \xi^2 \\ 1 & 2\xi \end{bmatrix}$$

- A is **not row reduced** since leading row coefficient matrix

$$A^{\text{lrc}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Any other representation $R(\sigma)\mathbf{w} = 0$ of \mathcal{B} with $R(\xi)$ of full row rank is given by $R(\xi) = U(\xi)A(\xi)$ with $U(\xi)$ unimodular
- Row reduction procedure of *Wedderburn '34; Wolovich '74* yields $U(\xi)A(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} \xi & 0 \\ 1 & 2\xi \end{bmatrix}$ for $U(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 9 & \xi \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
- Thus minimal row degrees are 1, 1.

- Example over field \mathbb{Z}_{11} : $\mathcal{B} = \text{span} \left\{ \left(\begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \dots \right) \right\}$
- has kernel representation $A(\sigma)\mathbf{w} = 0$ with

$$A(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \xi^2 \\ 1 & 2\xi \end{bmatrix}$$

- A is **not row reduced** since leading row coefficient matrix

$$A^{\text{lrc}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Any other representation $R(\sigma)\mathbf{w} = 0$ of \mathcal{B} with $R(\xi)$ **of full row rank** is given by $R(\xi) = U(\xi)A(\xi)$ with $U(\xi)$ unimodular
- Row reduction procedure of *Wedderburn '34; Wolovich '74* yields

$$U(\xi)A(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} \xi & 0 \\ 1 & 2\xi \end{bmatrix} \text{ for } U(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 9 & \xi \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Thus minimal row degrees are 1, 1.

- Example over field \mathbb{Z}_{11} : $\mathcal{B} = \text{span} \left\{ \left(\begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \dots \right) \right\}$
- has kernel representation $A(\sigma)\mathbf{w} = 0$ with

$$A(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \xi^2 \\ 1 & 2\xi \end{bmatrix}$$

- A is **not row reduced** since leading row coefficient matrix

$$A^{\text{lrc}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Any other representation $R(\sigma)\mathbf{w} = 0$ of \mathcal{B} with $R(\xi)$ **of full row rank** is given by $R(\xi) = U(\xi)A(\xi)$ with $U(\xi)$ unimodular
- Row reduction procedure of *Wedderburn '34*; *Wolovich '74* yields

$$U(\xi)A(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} \xi & 0 \\ 1 & 2\xi \end{bmatrix} \text{ for } U(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 9 & \xi \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Thus minimal row degrees are 1, 1.

- Example over field \mathbb{Z}_{11} : $\mathcal{B} = \text{span} \left\{ \left(\begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \dots \right) \right\}$
- has kernel representation $A(\sigma)\mathbf{w} = 0$ with

$$A(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \xi^2 \\ 1 & 2\xi \end{bmatrix}$$

- A is **not row reduced** since leading row coefficient matrix

$$A^{\text{lrc}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Any other representation $R(\sigma)\mathbf{w} = 0$ of \mathcal{B} with $R(\xi)$ **of full row rank** is given by $R(\xi) = U(\xi)A(\xi)$ with $U(\xi)$ unimodular
- Row reduction procedure of *Wedderburn '34*; *Wolovich '74* yields

$$U(\xi)A(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} \xi & 0 \\ 1 & 2\xi \end{bmatrix} \text{ for } U(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 9 & \xi \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Thus minimal row degrees are 1, 1.



- Dropping rank constraint: any other representation $R(\sigma)\mathbf{w} = 0$ of \mathcal{B} is given by $R(\xi) = U(\xi) \begin{bmatrix} A(\xi) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ with $U(\xi)$ unimodular

Row reduced R with row degrees d_1, \dots, d_k has

PREDICTABLE DEGREE PROPERTY:

$$\text{row degree of } a(\xi)R(\xi) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} (d_i + \deg a_i(\xi))$$

Then is key player in parametrization of annihilators of $\mathcal{B} = \ker R(\sigma)$:

THEOREM A vector $V(\xi)$ of row degree d is an annihilator of \mathcal{B} if and only if there exists $Q(\xi) = [q_1(\xi) \ \cdots \ q_k(\xi)]$ such that

Row reduced R with row degrees d_1, \dots, d_k has

PREDICTABLE DEGREE PROPERTY:

$$\text{row degree of } a(\xi)R(\xi) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} (d_i + \deg a_i(\xi))$$

Then is key player in parametrization of annihilators of $\mathcal{B} = \ker R(\sigma)$:

THEOREM A vector $V(\xi)$ of row degree d is an annihilator of \mathcal{B} if and only if there exists $Q(\xi) = [q_1(\xi) \ \cdots \ q_k(\xi)]$ such that

Row reduced R with row degrees d_1, \dots, d_k has

PREDICTABLE DEGREE PROPERTY:

$$\text{row degree of } a(\xi)R(\xi) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} (d_i + \deg a_i(\xi))$$

Then is key player in **parametrization** of annihilators of $\mathcal{B} = \ker R(\sigma)$:

THEOREM A vector $V(\xi)$ of row degree d is an annihilator of \mathcal{B} if and only if there exists $Q(\xi) = [q_1(\xi) \ \cdots \ q_k(\xi)]$ such that

$$V(\xi) = Q(\xi)R(\xi)$$

Row reduced R with row degrees d_1, \dots, d_k has

PREDICTABLE DEGREE PROPERTY:

$$\text{row degree of } a(\xi)R(\xi) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} (d_i + \deg a_i(\xi))$$

Then is key player in [parametrization](#) of annihilators of $\mathcal{B} = \ker R(\sigma)$:

THEOREM A vector $V(\xi)$ of row degree d is an annihilator of \mathcal{B} if and only if there exists $Q(\xi) = [q_1(\xi) \ \cdots \ q_k(\xi)]$ such that

1. $V(\xi) = Q(\xi)R(\xi)$
2. $\deg q_i(\xi) \leq d - d_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Furthermore, $Q(\xi)$ is unique.

Row reduced R with row degrees d_1, \dots, d_k has

PREDICTABLE DEGREE PROPERTY:

$$\text{row degree of } a(\xi)R(\xi) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} (d_i + \deg a_i(\xi))$$

Then is key player in [parametrization](#) of annihilators of $\mathcal{B} = \ker R(\sigma)$:

THEOREM A vector $V(\xi)$ of row degree d is an annihilator of \mathcal{B} if and only if there exists $Q(\xi) = [q_1(\xi) \ \cdots \ q_k(\xi)]$ such that

1. $V(\xi) = Q(\xi)R(\xi)$
2. $\deg q_i(\xi) \leq d - d_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Furthermore, $Q(\xi)$ is unique.

Row reduced R with row degrees d_1, \dots, d_k has

PREDICTABLE DEGREE PROPERTY:

$$\text{row degree of } a(\xi)R(\xi) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} (d_i + \deg a_i(\xi))$$

Then is key player in [parametrization](#) of annihilators of $\mathcal{B} = \ker R(\sigma)$:

THEOREM A vector $V(\xi)$ of row degree d is an annihilator of \mathcal{B} if and only if there exists $Q(\xi) = [q_1(\xi) \ \cdots \ q_k(\xi)]$ such that

1. $V(\xi) = Q(\xi)R(\xi)$
2. $\deg q_i(\xi) \leq d - d_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Furthermore, $Q(\xi)$ is unique.

Row reduced R with row degrees d_1, \dots, d_k has

PREDICTABLE DEGREE PROPERTY:

$$\text{row degree of } a(\xi)R(\xi) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} (d_i + \deg a_i(\xi))$$

Then is key player in [parametrization](#) of annihilators of $\mathcal{B} = \ker R(\sigma)$:

THEOREM A vector $V(\xi)$ of row degree d is an annihilator of \mathcal{B} if and only if there exists $Q(\xi) = [q_1(\xi) \ \cdots \ q_k(\xi)]$ such that

1. $V(\xi) = Q(\xi)R(\xi)$
2. $\deg q_i(\xi) \leq d - d_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Furthermore, $Q(\xi)$ is unique.

- Same example over ring \mathbb{Z}_{27} : $\mathcal{B} = \text{span} \left\{ \left(\begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \dots \right) \right\}$
- note that \mathbb{Z}_{27} has zero divisors, such as 3, 9
- \mathcal{B} has kernel representation $A(\sigma)w = 0$ with

$$A(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \xi^2 \\ 1 & 18\xi \end{bmatrix}$$

- Any other representation $R(\sigma)w = 0$ of \mathcal{B} with $R(\xi)$ of full row rank is given by $R(\xi) = U(\xi)A(\xi)$ with $U(\xi)$ unimodular
- Attempt to make $R(\xi)$ row reduced: take $U(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} -18 & \xi \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$,
yielding $R = \begin{bmatrix} \xi & 0 \\ 1 & 18\xi \end{bmatrix}$
- BUT... U not unimodular, so does not yield correct \mathcal{B} . Indeed R models $\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \dots \right)$ which is not in \mathcal{B}

- Same example over ring \mathbb{Z}_{27} : $\mathcal{B} = \text{span} \left\{ \left(\begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \dots \right) \right\}$
- note that \mathbb{Z}_{27} has zero divisors, such as 3, 9
- \mathcal{B} has kernel representation $A(\sigma)w = 0$ with

$$A(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \xi^2 \\ 1 & 18\xi \end{bmatrix}$$

- Any other representation $R(\sigma)w = 0$ of \mathcal{B} with $R(\xi)$ of full row rank is given by $R(\xi) = U(\xi)A(\xi)$ with $U(\xi)$ unimodular
- Attempt to make $R(\xi)$ row reduced: take $U(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} -18 & \xi \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$,
yielding $R = \begin{bmatrix} \xi & 0 \\ 1 & 18\xi \end{bmatrix}$
- BUT... U not unimodular, so does not yield correct \mathcal{B} . Indeed R models $\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \dots \right)$ which is not in \mathcal{B}

- Same example over ring \mathbb{Z}_{27} : $\mathcal{B} = \text{span} \left\{ \left(\begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \dots \right) \right\}$
- note that \mathbb{Z}_{27} has **zero divisors**, such as 3, 9
- \mathcal{B} has kernel representation $A(\sigma)\mathbf{w} = 0$ with

$$A(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \xi^2 \\ 1 & 18\xi \end{bmatrix}$$

- Any other representation $R(\sigma)\mathbf{w} = 0$ of \mathcal{B} with $R(\xi)$ of full row rank is given by $R(\xi) = U(\xi)A(\xi)$ with $U(\xi)$ unimodular
- Attempt to make $R(\xi)$ row reduced: take $U(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} -18 & \xi \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$,
yielding $R = \begin{bmatrix} \xi & 0 \\ 1 & 18\xi \end{bmatrix}$
- BUT... U not unimodular, so does not yield correct \mathcal{B} . Indeed R models $\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \dots \right)$ which is not in \mathcal{B}

- Same example over ring \mathbb{Z}_{27} : $\mathcal{B} = \text{span} \left\{ \left(\begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \dots \right) \right\}$
- note that \mathbb{Z}_{27} has **zero divisors**, such as 3, 9
- \mathcal{B} has kernel representation $A(\sigma)\mathbf{w} = 0$ with

$$A(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \xi^2 \\ 1 & 18\xi \end{bmatrix}$$

- Any other representation $R(\sigma)\mathbf{w} = 0$ of \mathcal{B} with $R(\xi)$ **of full row rank** is given by $R(\xi) = U(\xi)A(\xi)$ with $U(\xi)$ unimodular

- Attempt to make $R(\xi)$ row reduced: take $U(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} -18 & \xi \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$,

yielding $R = \begin{bmatrix} \xi & 0 \\ 1 & 18\xi \end{bmatrix}$

- BUT... U **not unimodular**, so does not yield correct \mathcal{B} . Indeed R models $\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \dots \right)$ which is not in \mathcal{B}

- Same example over ring \mathbb{Z}_{27} : $\mathcal{B} = \text{span} \left\{ \left(\begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \dots \right) \right\}$
- note that \mathbb{Z}_{27} has **zero divisors**, such as 3, 9
- \mathcal{B} has kernel representation $A(\sigma)\mathbf{w} = 0$ with

$$A(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \xi^2 \\ 1 & 18\xi \end{bmatrix}$$

- Any other representation $R(\sigma)\mathbf{w} = 0$ of \mathcal{B} with $R(\xi)$ **of full row rank** is given by $R(\xi) = U(\xi)A(\xi)$ with $U(\xi)$ unimodular
- Attempt to make $R(\xi)$ row reduced: take $U(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} -18 & \xi \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$,

yielding $R = \begin{bmatrix} \xi & 0 \\ 1 & 18\xi \end{bmatrix}$

- BUT... U **not unimodular**, so does not yield correct \mathcal{B} . Indeed R models $\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \dots \right)$ which is not in \mathcal{B}

- Same example over ring \mathbb{Z}_{27} : $\mathcal{B} = \text{span} \left\{ \left(\begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \dots \right) \right\}$
- note that \mathbb{Z}_{27} has **zero divisors**, such as 3, 9
- \mathcal{B} has kernel representation $A(\sigma)\mathbf{w} = 0$ with

$$A(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \xi^2 \\ 1 & 18\xi \end{bmatrix}$$

- Any other representation $R(\sigma)\mathbf{w} = 0$ of \mathcal{B} with $R(\xi)$ **of full row rank** is given by $R(\xi) = U(\xi)A(\xi)$ with $U(\xi)$ unimodular

- Attempt to make $R(\xi)$ row reduced: take $U(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} -18 & \xi \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$,

yielding $R = \begin{bmatrix} \xi & 0 \\ 1 & 18\xi \end{bmatrix}$

- BUT... U **not unimodular**, so does not yield correct \mathcal{B} . Indeed R models $\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \dots \right)$ which is not in \mathcal{B}

- Dropping rank constraint: any other representation $R(\sigma)\mathbf{w} = 0$ of \mathcal{B} is given by $R(\xi) = U(\xi) \begin{bmatrix} A(\xi) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ with $U(\xi)$ unimodular

WANTED:

Theory of row reduced polynomial matrices over \mathbb{Z}_p

= OPEN PROBLEM, posed in e.g. *FZ'97*

We present a solution

- Dropping rank constraint: any other representation $R(\sigma)\mathbf{w} = 0$ of \mathcal{B} is given by $R(\xi) = U(\xi) \begin{bmatrix} A(\xi) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ with $U(\xi)$ unimodular

WANTED:

Theory of row reduced polynomial matrices over \mathbb{Z}_p^r

= OPEN PROBLEM, posed in e.g. *FZ'97*

We present a solution

- Dropping rank constraint: any other representation $R(\sigma)\mathbf{w} = 0$ of \mathcal{B} is given by $R(\xi) = U(\xi) \begin{bmatrix} A(\xi) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ with $U(\xi)$ unimodular

WANTED:

Theory of row reduced polynomial matrices over \mathbb{Z}_p^r

= OPEN PROBLEM, posed in e.g. *FZ'97*

We present a solution

- Dropping rank constraint: any other representation $R(\sigma)\mathbf{w} = 0$ of \mathcal{B} is given by $R(\xi) = U(\xi) \begin{bmatrix} A(\xi) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ with $U(\xi)$ unimodular

WANTED:

Theory of row reduced polynomial matrices over \mathbb{Z}_p^r

= OPEN PROBLEM, posed in e.g. *FZ'97*

We present a solution

Outline of new theory:

- work with redundant kernel reps, obtained via

$$R(\xi) = U(\xi) \begin{bmatrix} A(\xi) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ with } U(\xi) \text{ unimodular}$$

- impose specific structure on $R(\xi)$: the **composed form**
- composed form is less restrictive than “adapted form” from *FZ'97*
- impose **rank condition** on R^{lrc}

Inspired by theory of “ p -generator sequences” for constant vectors in \mathbb{Z}_p^q , as in *Vazirani a.o. '96*



Outline of new theory:

- work with redundant kernel reps, obtained via

$$R(\xi) = U(\xi) \begin{bmatrix} A(\xi) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ with } U(\xi) \text{ unimodular}$$

- impose specific structure on $R(\xi)$: the **composed form**
- composed form is less restrictive than “adapted form” from *FZ'97*
- impose **rank condition** on R^{lrc}

Inspired by theory of “ p -generator sequences” for constant vectors in \mathbb{Z}_p^q , as in *Vazirani a.o. '96*

Outline of new theory:

- work with redundant kernel reps, obtained via

$$R(\xi) = U(\xi) \begin{bmatrix} A(\xi) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ with } U(\xi) \text{ unimodular}$$

- impose specific structure on $R(\xi)$: the **composed form**
 - composed form is less restrictive than “adapted form” from FZ’97
 - impose **rank condition** on R^{lrc}

Inspired by theory of “ p -generator sequences” for constant vectors in \mathbb{Z}_p^q , as in Vazirani a.o. ’96

Outline of new theory:

- work with redundant kernel reps, obtained via

$$R(\xi) = U(\xi) \begin{bmatrix} A(\xi) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ with } U(\xi) \text{ unimodular}$$

- impose specific structure on $R(\xi)$: the **composed form**
- composed form is less restrictive than “adapted form” from *FZ'97*
- impose **rank condition** on R^{irc}

Inspired by theory of “ p -generator sequences” for **constant** vectors in \mathbb{Z}_p^q , as in *Vazirani a.o. '96*

Outline of new theory:

- work with redundant kernel reps, obtained via

$$R(\xi) = U(\xi) \begin{bmatrix} A(\xi) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ with } U(\xi) \text{ unimodular}$$

- impose specific structure on $R(\xi)$: the **composed form**
- composed form is less restrictive than “adapted form” from *FZ'97*
- impose **rank condition** on R^{lrc}

Inspired by theory of “ p -generator sequences” for **constant** vectors in \mathbb{Z}_p^q , as in *Vazirani a.o. '96*



Outline of new theory:

- work with redundant kernel reps, obtained via

$$R(\xi) = U(\xi) \begin{bmatrix} A(\xi) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ with } U(\xi) \text{ unimodular}$$

- impose specific structure on $R(\xi)$: the **composed form**
- composed form is less restrictive than “adapted form” from *FZ'97*
- impose **rank condition** on R^{lrc}

Inspired by theory of “ p -generator sequences” for **constant** vectors in \mathbb{Z}_p^q , as in *Vazirani a.o. '96*

Theory of p -generator sequences for $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q[\xi]$

- *Commutative algebra*: concept of “generating system along a composition chain” *Matsumura '86*
- rephrased as “ p -generator sequence” in *Vazirani a.o. '96* for **constant** vectors in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q$
- we now introduce same concepts for **polynomial** vectors in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q[\xi]$

DEFINITION Let $v_1(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi)$ be vectors in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q[\xi]$ and let $a_j(\xi)$ be polynomials with coefficients in $\{0, 1, \dots, p-1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}$. Then the vector

$$\sum_{j=1}^k a_j(\xi) v_j(\xi)$$

is called a **p -linear combination** of $v_1(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi)$. The set of all p -linear combinations of $v_1(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi)$ is called the **p -span** of $\{v_1(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi)\}$.

Theory of p -generator sequences for $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q[\xi]$

- *Commutative algebra*: concept of “generating system along a composition chain” *Matsumura '86*
- rephrased as “ p -generator sequence” in *Vazirani a.o. '96* for **constant** vectors in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q$
- we now introduce same concepts for **polynomial** vectors in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q[\xi]$

DEFINITION Let $v_1(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi)$ be vectors in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q[\xi]$ and let $a_j(\xi)$ be polynomials with coefficients in $\{0, 1, \dots, p-1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}$. Then the vector

$$\sum_{j=1}^k a_j(\xi) v_j(\xi)$$

is called a **p -linear combination** of $v_1(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi)$. The set of all p -linear combinations of $v_1(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi)$ is called the **p -span** of $\{v_1(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi)\}$.

Theory of p -generator sequences for $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q[\xi]$

- *Commutative algebra*: concept of “generating system along a composition chain” *Matsumura '86*
- rephrased as “ p -generator sequence” in *Vazirani a.o. '96* for **constant** vectors in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q$
- we now introduce same concepts for **polynomial** vectors in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q[\xi]$

DEFINITION Let $v_1(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi)$ be vectors in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q[\xi]$ and let $a_j(\xi)$ be polynomials with coefficients in $\{0, 1, \dots, p-1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}$. Then the vector

$$\sum_{j=1}^k a_j(\xi) v_j(\xi)$$

is called a **p -linear combination** of $v_1(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi)$. The set of all p -linear combinations of $v_1(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi)$ is called the **p -span** of $\{v_1(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi)\}$.

Theory of p -generator sequences for $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q[\xi]$

- *Commutative algebra*: concept of “generating system along a composition chain” *Matsumura '86*
- rephrased as “ p -generator sequence” in *Vazirani a.o. '96* for **constant** vectors in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q$
- we now introduce same concepts for **polynomial** vectors in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q[\xi]$

DEFINITION Let $v_1(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi)$ be vectors in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q[\xi]$ and let $a_j(\xi)$ be polynomials with coefficients in $\{0, 1, \dots, p-1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}$. Then the vector

$$\sum_{j=1}^k a_j(\xi) v_j(\xi)$$

is called a **p -linear combination** of $v_1(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi)$. The set of all p -linear combinations of $v_1(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi)$ is called the **p -span** of $\{v_1(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi)\}$.

Theory of p -generator sequences for $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q[\xi]$

- *Commutative algebra*: concept of “generating system along a composition chain” *Matsumura '86*
- rephrased as “ p -generator sequence” in *Vazirani a.o. '96* for **constant** vectors in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q$
- we now introduce same concepts for **polynomial** vectors in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q[\xi]$

DEFINITION Let $v_1(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi)$ be vectors in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q[\xi]$ and let $a_j(\xi)$ be polynomials with coefficients in $\{0, 1, \dots, p-1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}$. Then the vector

$$\sum_{j=1}^k a_j(\xi) v_j(\xi)$$

is called a **p -linear combination** of $v_1(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi)$. The set of all p -linear combinations of $v_1(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi)$ is called the **p -span** of $\{v_1(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi)\}$.



DEFINITION Let $v_1(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi)$ be vectors in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q[\xi]$. Then they are said to be **p -linearly independent** if there does not exist a nontrivial p -linear combination of $v_1(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi)$ that equals zero.

DEFINITION An ordered sequence of vectors $(v_1(\xi), v_2(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi))$, with $v_i(\xi) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q[\xi]$, is said to be a **p -generator sequence** if

- 1) for $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$, the vector $pv_i(\xi)$ can be written as a p -linear combination of $v_{i+1}(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi)$ and
- 2) $pv_k(\xi)$ equals the zero vector.

IMPORTANT PROPERTY OF p -GENERATOR SEQUENCE:

$$p\text{-span}(v_1(\xi), v_2(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi)) = \text{span}(v_1(\xi), v_2(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi))$$

is a submodule of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q$.

DEFINITION An ordered sequence of vectors $(v_1(\xi), v_2(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi))$, with $v_i(\xi) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q[\xi]$, is said to be a **p -generator sequence** if

- 1) for $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$, the vector $pv_i(\xi)$ can be written as a p -linear combination of $v_{i+1}(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi)$ and
- 2) $pv_k(\xi)$ equals the zero vector.

IMPORTANT PROPERTY OF p -GENERATOR SEQUENCE:

$$p\text{-span}(v_1(\xi), v_2(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi)) = \text{span}(v_1(\xi), v_2(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi))$$

is a submodule of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q$.

DEFINITION An ordered sequence of vectors $(v_1(\xi), v_2(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi))$, with $v_i(\xi) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q[\xi]$, is said to be a **p -generator sequence** if

- 1) for $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$, the vector $pv_i(\xi)$ can be written as a p -linear combination of $v_{i+1}(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi)$ and
- 2) $pv_k(\xi)$ equals the zero vector.

IMPORTANT PROPERTY OF p -GENERATOR SEQUENCE:

$$p\text{-span}(v_1(\xi), v_2(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi)) = \text{span}(v_1(\xi), v_2(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi))$$

is a submodule of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q$.

DEFINITION An ordered sequence of vectors $(v_1(\xi), v_2(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi))$, with $v_i(\xi) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q[\xi]$, is said to be a **p -generator sequence** if

- 1) for $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$, the vector $pv_i(\xi)$ can be written as a p -linear combination of $v_{i+1}(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi)$ and
- 2) $pv_k(\xi)$ equals the zero vector.

IMPORTANT PROPERTY OF p -GENERATOR SEQUENCE:

$$p\text{-span}(v_1(\xi), v_2(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi)) = \text{span}(v_1(\xi), v_2(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi))$$

is a submodule of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q$.

DEFINITION A kernel representation $R(\sigma)w = 0$ is in composed form if the rows of $R(\xi)$ are a p -generator sequence, up to row permutation.

Example as before in ring \mathbb{Z}_{27} :

$$\bullet \Lambda(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \xi^2 \\ 1 & 18\xi \end{bmatrix} \text{ is not in composed form.}$$

$$\bullet \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda(\xi) \\ p\Lambda(\xi) \\ p^2\Lambda(\xi) \\ \vdots \\ p^{-1}\Lambda(\xi) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \xi^2 \\ 1 & 18\xi \\ 0 & 3\xi^2 \\ 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 9\xi^2 \\ 9 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ is in composed form.}$$

kernel representation $R(\sigma)w = 0$

DEFINITION A kernel representation $R(\sigma)w = 0$ is in composed form if the rows of $R(\xi)$ are a p -generator sequence, up to row permutation.

Example as before in ring \mathbb{Z}_{27} :

- $A(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \xi^2 \\ 1 & 18\xi \end{bmatrix}$ is not in composed form.

- $\begin{bmatrix} A(\xi) \\ pA(\xi) \\ p^2A(\xi) \\ \vdots \\ p^{r-1}A(\xi) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \xi^2 \\ 1 & 18\xi \\ 0 & 3\xi^2 \\ 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 9\xi^2 \\ 9 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is in composed form.

- Another composed rep: $R(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \xi^2 \\ 0 & 3\xi^2 \\ 14 & 9\xi \\ \xi & 0 \\ 3 & 0 \\ 9 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

DEFINITION A kernel representation $R(\sigma)w = 0$ is in composed form if the rows of $R(\xi)$ are a p -generator sequence, up to row permutation.

Example as before in ring \mathbb{Z}_{27} :

- $A(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \xi^2 \\ 1 & 18\xi \end{bmatrix}$ is not in composed form.

- $\begin{bmatrix} A(\xi) \\ pA(\xi) \\ p^2A(\xi) \\ \vdots \\ p^{r-1}A(\xi) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \xi^2 \\ 1 & 18\xi \\ 0 & 3\xi^2 \\ 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 9\xi^2 \\ 9 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is in composed form.

- Another composed rep: $R(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \xi^2 \\ 0 & 3\xi^2 \\ 14 & 9\xi \\ \xi & 0 \\ 3 & 0 \\ 9 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

DEFINITION A kernel representation $R(\sigma)w = 0$ is in composed form if the rows of $R(\xi)$ are a p -generator sequence, up to row permutation.

Example as before in ring \mathbb{Z}_{27} :

- $A(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \xi^2 \\ 1 & 18\xi \end{bmatrix}$ is not in composed form.

- $\begin{bmatrix} A(\xi) \\ pA(\xi) \\ p^2A(\xi) \\ \vdots \\ p^{r-1}A(\xi) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \xi^2 \\ 1 & 18\xi \\ 0 & 3\xi^2 \\ 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 9\xi^2 \\ 9 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is in composed form.

- Another composed rep: $R(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \xi^2 \\ 0 & 3\xi^2 \\ 14 & 9\xi \\ \xi & 0 \\ 3 & 0 \\ 9 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

DEFINITION (KPP '07) Let M be a submodule of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q[\xi]$, written as a p -span of a p -generator sequence $(v_1(\xi), v_2(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi))$. Then $(v_1(\xi), v_2(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi))$ is called a **reduced p -basis** for M if the vectors $v_1^{\text{lrc}}, v_2^{\text{lrc}}, \dots, v_k^{\text{lrc}}$ are p -linearly independent in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q$.

Leads to concepts of

- p -dimension of M : $p\text{-dim}(M) = k$
- p -degrees of M : given by $\deg v_1(\xi), \deg v_2(\xi), \dots, \deg v_k(\xi)$

ALGORITHM (KPP '07)

Input data: module $M := \text{span}(w_1(\xi), \dots, w_g(\xi))$ with $w_i(\xi) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q[\xi]$

Output data: reduced p -basis $(v_1(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi))$

DEFINITION (KPP '07) Let M be a submodule of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q[\xi]$, written as a p -span of a p -generator sequence $(v_1(\xi), v_2(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi))$. Then $(v_1(\xi), v_2(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi))$ is called a **reduced p -basis** for M if the vectors $v_1^{\text{lrc}}, v_2^{\text{lrc}}, \dots, v_k^{\text{lrc}}$ are p -linearly independent in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q$.

Leads to concepts of

- p -dimension of M : $p\text{-dim}(M) = k$
- p -degrees of M : given by $\deg v_1(\xi), \deg v_2(\xi), \dots, \deg v_k(\xi)$

ALGORITHM (KPP '07)

Input data: module $M := \text{span}(w_1(\xi), \dots, w_g(\xi))$ with $w_i(\xi) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q[\xi]$

Output data: reduced p -basis $(v_1(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi))$

DEFINITION (KPP '07) Let M be a submodule of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q[\xi]$, written as a p -span of a p -generator sequence $(v_1(\xi), v_2(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi))$. Then $(v_1(\xi), v_2(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi))$ is called a **reduced p -basis** for M if the vectors $v_1^{\text{lrc}}, v_2^{\text{lrc}}, \dots, v_k^{\text{lrc}}$ are p -linearly independent in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q$.

Leads to concepts of

- p -dimension of M : $p\text{-dim}(M) = k$
- p -degrees of M : given by $\deg v_1(\xi), \deg v_2(\xi), \dots, \deg v_k(\xi)$

ALGORITHM (KPP '07)

Input data: module $M := \text{span}(w_1(\xi), \dots, w_g(\xi))$ with $w_i(\xi) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q[\xi]$

Output data: reduced p -basis $(v_1(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi))$

DEFINITION (KPP '07) Let M be a submodule of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q[\xi]$, written as a p -span of a p -generator sequence $(v_1(\xi), v_2(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi))$. Then $(v_1(\xi), v_2(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi))$ is called a **reduced p -basis** for M if the vectors $v_1^{\text{lrc}}, v_2^{\text{lrc}}, \dots, v_k^{\text{lrc}}$ are p -linearly independent in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q$.

Leads to concepts of

- p -dimension of M : $p\text{-dim}(M) = k$
- p -degrees of M : given by $\deg v_1(\xi), \deg v_2(\xi), \dots, \deg v_k(\xi)$

ALGORITHM (KPP '07)

Input data: module $M := \text{span}(w_1(\xi), \dots, w_g(\xi))$ with $w_i(\xi) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^q[\xi]$

Output data: reduced p -basis $(v_1(\xi), \dots, v_k(\xi))$

DEFINITION_(KPP '07) Let $R(\xi)$ be a matrix in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^{k \times q}[\xi]$ with row degrees d_1, \dots, d_k . Let

$$a(\xi) = [a_1(\xi) \quad \cdots \quad a_k(\xi)]$$

be a nonzero polynomial vector with coefficients in $\{0, 1, \dots, p-1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then $R(\xi)$ is said to have the **p -predictable-degree property** if the row degree of $a(\xi)R(\xi)$ equals

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq k} (d_i + \deg a_i)$$

THEOREM_(KPP '07) Let $R(\xi)$ be a matrix in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^{k \times q}[\xi]$. Then $R(\xi)$ has the p -predictable-degree property iff the rows of R^{lrc} are p -linearly independent

DEFINITION_(KPP '07) Let $R(\xi)$ be a matrix in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^{k \times q}[\xi]$ with row degrees d_1, \dots, d_k . Let

$$a(\xi) = [a_1(\xi) \quad \cdots \quad a_k(\xi)]$$

be a nonzero polynomial vector with coefficients in $\{0, 1, \dots, p-1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then $R(\xi)$ is said to have the **p -predictable-degree property** if the row degree of $a(\xi)R(\xi)$ equals

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq k} (d_i + \deg a_i)$$

THEOREM_(KPP '07) Let $R(\xi)$ be a matrix in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^{k \times q}[\xi]$. Then $R(\xi)$ has the p -predictable-degree property iff the rows of R^{lrc} are p -linearly independent



Example as before in ring \mathbb{Z}_{27} :

$$A(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \xi^2 \\ 1 & 18\xi \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{Algorithm}} R(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \xi^2 \\ 0 & 3\xi^2 \\ 14 & 9\xi \\ \xi & 0 \\ 3 & 0 \\ 9 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$



THEOREM (*PARAMETRIZATION; KPP '07*) Let $\mathcal{B} = \ker R(\sigma)$ with row degrees d_1, \dots, d_k and

- $R(\xi)$ in composed form
- $R(\xi)$ has p -predictable-degree property

Then vector $V(\xi)$ is an annihilator of \mathcal{B} of row degree d if and only if there exists a vector $Q(\xi) = [q_1(\xi) \ \cdots \ q_k(\xi)]$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^k[\xi]$ such that

1. $V(\xi) = Q(\xi)R(\xi)$
2. $\deg q_i(\xi) \leq d - d_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$
3. the coefficients of $q_i(\xi)$ are restricted to $\{0, 1, \dots, p - 1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Furthermore, $Q(\xi)$ is unique



THEOREM (PARAMETRIZATION; KPP '07) Let $\mathcal{B} = \ker R(\sigma)$ with row degrees d_1, \dots, d_k and

- $R(\xi)$ in composed form
- $R(\xi)$ has p -predictable-degree property

Then vector $V(\xi)$ is an annihilator of \mathcal{B} of row degree d if and only if there exists a vector $Q(\xi) = [q_1(\xi) \ \cdots \ q_k(\xi)]$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^k[\xi]$ such that

1. $V(\xi) = Q(\xi)R(\xi)$
2. $\deg q_i(\xi) \leq d - d_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$
3. the coefficients of $q_i(\xi)$ are restricted to $\{0, 1, \dots, p - 1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Furthermore, $Q(\xi)$ is unique



THEOREM (PARAMETRIZATION; KPP '07) Let $\mathcal{B} = \ker R(\sigma)$ with row degrees d_1, \dots, d_k and

- $R(\xi)$ in composed form
- $R(\xi)$ has p -predictable-degree property

Then vector $V(\xi)$ is an annihilator of \mathcal{B} of row degree d if and only if there exists a vector $Q(\xi) = [q_1(\xi) \ \cdots \ q_k(\xi)]$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^k[\xi]$ such that

1. $V(\xi) = Q(\xi)R(\xi)$
2. $\deg q_i(\xi) \leq d - d_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$
3. the coefficients of $q_i(\xi)$ are restricted to $\{0, 1, \dots, p - 1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Furthermore, $Q(\xi)$ is unique



THEOREM (PARAMETRIZATION; KPP '07) Let $\mathcal{B} = \ker R(\sigma)$ with row degrees d_1, \dots, d_k and

- $R(\xi)$ in composed form
- $R(\xi)$ has p -predictable-degree property

Then vector $V(\xi)$ is an annihilator of \mathcal{B} of row degree d if and only if there exists a vector $Q(\xi) = [q_1(\xi) \ \cdots \ q_k(\xi)]$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^k[\xi]$ such that

1. $V(\xi) = Q(\xi)R(\xi)$
2. $\deg q_i(\xi) \leq d - d_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$
3. the coefficients of $q_i(\xi)$ are restricted to $\{0, 1, \dots, p - 1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Furthermore, $Q(\xi)$ is unique



THEOREM (*PARAMETRIZATION; KPP '07*) Let $\mathcal{B} = \ker R(\sigma)$ with row degrees d_1, \dots, d_k and

- $R(\xi)$ in composed form
- $R(\xi)$ has p -predictable-degree property

Then vector $V(\xi)$ is an annihilator of \mathcal{B} of row degree d if and only if there exists a vector $Q(\xi) = [q_1(\xi) \ \cdots \ q_k(\xi)]$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^k[\xi]$ such that

1. $V(\xi) = Q(\xi)R(\xi)$
2. $\deg q_i(\xi) \leq d - d_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$
3. the coefficients of $q_i(\xi)$ are restricted to $\{0, 1, \dots, p - 1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Furthermore, $Q(\xi)$ is unique

CONCLUSIONS:

- Row reducedness defined for $k \times q$ polynomial matrices $R(\xi)$ with coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_p , as
 - in composed form AND
 - p -dim (rows of R^{br}) = k
- solves open problem
- gives parametrization result that extends field result

FUTURE WORK:

- apply to minimal polynomial interpolation problems over \mathbb{Z}_p
- develop dual theory for image representations $w = G(\sigma)u$ of systems over \mathbb{Z}_p
- apply to *convolutional codes* over \mathbb{Z}_p given by encoder $w = G(\sigma)u$ or syndrome former $H(\sigma)w = 0$.



CONCLUSIONS:

- Row reducedness defined for $k \times q$ polynomial matrices $R(\xi)$ with coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_{p^r} , as
 - in composed form AND
 - p -dim (rows of R^{lr}) = k
- solves open problem
- gives parametrization result that extends field result

FUTURE WORK:

- apply to minimal polynomial interpolation problems over \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}
- develop dual theory for image representations $w = G(\sigma)u$ of systems over \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}
- apply to *convolutional codes* over \mathbb{Z}_{p^r} given by encoder $w = G(\sigma)u$ or syndrome former $H(\sigma)w = 0$.

CONCLUSIONS:

- Row reducedness defined for $k \times q$ polynomial matrices $R(\xi)$ with coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_{p^r} , as
 - in composed form AND
 - p -dim (rows of R^{lr}) = k
- solves open problem
- gives parametrization result that extends field result

FUTURE WORK:

- apply to minimal polynomial interpolation problems over \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}
- develop dual theory for image representations $w = G(\sigma)u$ of systems over \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}
- apply to *convolutional codes* over \mathbb{Z}_{p^r} given by encoder $w = G(\sigma)u$ or syndrome former $H(\sigma)w = 0$.



CONCLUSIONS:

- Row reducedness defined for $k \times q$ polynomial matrices $R(\xi)$ with coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_{p^r} , as
 - in composed form AND
 - p -dim (rows of R^{lr}) = k
- solves open problem
- gives parametrization result that extends field result

FUTURE WORK:

- apply to minimal polynomial interpolation problems over \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}
- develop dual theory for image representations $w = G(\sigma)u$ of systems over \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}
- apply to *convolutional codes* over \mathbb{Z}_{p^r} given by encoder $w = G(\sigma)u$ or syndrome former $H(\sigma)w = 0$.

CONCLUSIONS:

- Row reducedness defined for $k \times q$ polynomial matrices $R(\xi)$ with coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_{p^r} , as
 - in composed form AND
 - p -dim (rows of R^{lr}) = k
- solves open problem
- gives parametrization result that extends field result

FUTURE WORK:

- apply to minimal polynomial interpolation problems over \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}
- develop dual theory for image representations $w = G(\sigma)u$ of systems over \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}
- apply to *convolutional codes* over \mathbb{Z}_{p^r} given by encoder $w = G(\sigma)u$ or syndrome former $H(\sigma)w = 0$.



CONCLUSIONS:

- Row reducedness defined for $k \times q$ polynomial matrices $R(\xi)$ with coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_{p^r} , as
 - in composed form AND
 - p -dim (rows of R^{lr}) = k
- solves open problem
- gives parametrization result that extends field result

FUTURE WORK:

- apply to minimal polynomial interpolation problems over \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}
- develop dual theory for image representations $\mathbf{w} = G(\sigma)\mathbf{u}$ of systems over \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}
- apply to *convolutional codes* over \mathbb{Z}_{p^r} given by encoder $\mathbf{w} = G(\sigma)\mathbf{u}$ or syndrome former $H(\sigma)\mathbf{w} = 0$.



CONCLUSIONS:

- Row reducedness defined for $k \times q$ polynomial matrices $R(\xi)$ with coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_{p^r} , as
 - in composed form AND
 - p -dim (rows of R^{lr}) = k
- solves open problem
- gives parametrization result that extends field result

FUTURE WORK:

- apply to minimal polynomial interpolation problems over \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}
- develop dual theory for image representations $\mathbf{w} = G(\sigma)\mathbf{u}$ of systems over \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}
- apply to *convolutional codes* over \mathbb{Z}_{p^r} given by encoder $\mathbf{w} = G(\sigma)\mathbf{u}$ or syndrome former $H(\sigma)\mathbf{w} = 0$.